

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO      |      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.               | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/720,613          | •    | 11/17/2003  | Romain Louis Billiet | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 4236             |  |
| 29646               | 7590 | 02/09/2006  |                      | EXAMINER                          |                  |  |
| ROM L. F            |      |             | JENKINS, DANIEL J    |                                   |                  |  |
| 135A MAI<br>PENANG, |      | TREET       |                      | ART UNIT                          | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| MALAYSI             |      |             |                      | 1742                              |                  |  |
|                     |      |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006           | 6                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | •         |
|--|---|---|-----------|
|  | 10/720,613  | BILLIET ET AL.  |           |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |           |
|  | Daniel J. Jenkins   | 1742  |           |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | lears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence addre  | ess -     |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this comm<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |           |
| Status   |   |   |           |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 O</u>  | ctober 2005.  |   |           |
|  | action is non-final.  |   |           |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | nce except for formal matters, pro  | secution as to the m  | erits is  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 53 O.G. 213.  |           |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |   |           |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.   | •   |   |           |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  |   |   |           |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are allowed.  |   |   | •         |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |           |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |   |           |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | r election requirement.   |   |           |
| Application Papers   |   |   |           |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |   |           |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  | epted or b) objected to by the I  | Examiner.   |           |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |           |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob   | jected to. See 37 CFR   | 1.121(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-   | 152.      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   | · .   |   |           |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | )-(d) or (f).   |           |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>   |   |   |           |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |   |   |           |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   |   | ed in this National Sta   | age       |
| application from the International Bureau  | •   |   |           |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receive   | cd.   |           |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |           |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |   |           |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal P  |   | 52)       |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6) Other:   | .,  |           |

Application/Control Number: 10/720,613

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's Response of 10/14/05. The Examiner finds that the amendment to claim 8 has rendered the claim inoperative, and has made the following rejection.

Page 2

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 8 contains limitations to to wherein the supercritical fluid is maintained at a density equal to that of the green body being processed. The Examiner finds that the act of maintaining the supercritical fluid, for example xenon, at a pressure above its critical pressure, would not result in a density that would equate that of, for example, the density of a stainless steel body, as found at page 12 of the pending Specification, which is greater than 7.8g/cc. Thus it appears that the broad range of Applicant's claim is not capable of operating over a range of green body densities.
- 4. Claims 1-7 are allowable, the prior art not teaching or suggesting loading a weighing device into the chamber of the hot isostatic press to be filled with a supercritical fluid.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742